


Logical Reasoning Preptest 53 LSAT: Explained Logical Reasoning Preptest 20

The Logical Reasoning section of the LSAT is designed to test your ability to understand and analyze arguments. It is made up of 25 multiple-choice questions that are divided into four sections. Each section contains five questions that are based on a short passage. The passages are typically about philosophy, law, science, or the social sciences.



Logical Reasoning PrepTest 53 (LSAT Explained Logical Reasoning PrepTest Book 20) by Randall Hicks

★★★★☆ 4.8 out of 5

Language : English
File size : 210 KB
Text-to-Speech : Enabled
Screen Reader : Supported
Enhanced typesetting : Enabled
Print length : 40 pages
Lending : Enabled



In order to do well on the Logical Reasoning section, you need to be able to identify the main points of an argument, recognize the different types of arguments, and evaluate the strength and weaknesses of arguments. You also need to be able to spot logical fallacies and to use critical thinking skills to solve problems.

Logical Reasoning Preptest 53 is one of the best ways to prepare for the Logical Reasoning section of the LSAT. It contains 100 real LSAT questions

that have been carefully selected to cover a wide range of topics and question types. The pretest also includes detailed explanations of each question, so you can learn from your mistakes and improve your score.

In this post, we will go through one of the questions from Logical Reasoning Pretest 53. We will break down the question and explain the correct answer choice.

Question:

Which of the following, if true, would most weaken the argument?

Argument:

The government should not ban the sale of junk food in schools because doing so would deprive children of the freedom to choose what they eat. Freedom of choice is a fundamental right that should not be infringed upon.

Answer Choices:


1. Junk food is not actually harmful to children.
2. Children are not capable of making informed choices about what they eat.
3. The government has a responsibility to protect children from harm.
4. There are other ways to address the problem of childhood obesity.
5. The government should regulate the sale of junk food in schools, but not ban it outright.

Explanation:

The argument relies on the assumption that freedom of choice is a fundamental right that should not be infringed upon. Answer choice (B) weakens this assumption by pointing out that children are not capable of making informed choices about what they eat. If children are not capable of making informed choices, then the argument's claim that banning junk food would deprive children of their freedom of choice is less convincing.

Therefore, the correct answer is (B) Children are not capable of making informed choices about what they eat.

Logical Reasoning Preptest 53 is a valuable resource for anyone who is preparing for the LSAT. By working through the questions in this preptest, you can improve your understanding of the Logical Reasoning section and increase your score.



Logical Reasoning Preptest 53 (LSAT Explained Logical Reasoning Preptest Book 20) by Randall Hicks


★★★★☆ 4.8 out of 5

Language	: English
File size	: 210 KB
Text-to-Speech	: Enabled
Screen Reader	: Supported
Enhanced typesetting	: Enabled
Print length	: 40 pages
Lending	: Enabled

FREE


DOWNLOAD E-BOOK





Dead Serious: Breaking the Cycle of Teen Suicide

Teen suicide is a serious problem. In the United States, suicide is the second leading cause of death for people aged 15 to 24. Every year, more than...



Surviving My Years in the Westboro Baptist Church: A Journey of Indoctrination, Trauma, and Redemption

In the quaint town of Topeka, Kansas, where the rolling hills met the vibrant blue sky, I embarked on a harrowing journey that would profoundly shape...